

Rubrics Validated to Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes

Pramila S. Gaidhani¹, Varsha S. Thakare², Nikita D. Bhamare³

Department of Computer Engineering, Guru Gobind Singh Polytechnic, Nashik, India¹
BCA Science, Kamla Education Society's, Pratibha College of Commerce and Computer Studies, Pune^{2,3}

Abstract: *As educational corporation's improvements closer to which include a number of strategies of e-learning in their program, one of the foremost systems which are being utilized in universities is the Education Management System. Every company can also have a unique set of necessities primarily based on the quantity of students, the assets and technical help available. Making a preference about which Learning Management System to use can be complex. In this paper, we have introduced a rubric which evaluates Education Management System in opposition to a set of weighted criteria, thereby enabling universities to make a desire on which Education Management machine to implement. Research on rubrics for assessing overall performance used to be initially searched on line in the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC). The search for rubrics/educational rubrics/scoring rubrics gave hundreds of hits, which demonstrates that the phrase is embedded in the vocabulary of instructors and educators. The rubric appears to be a trendy in the Learning method, and at gaining knowledge of conferences, which is viewed by way of the physique of literature that has accrued in the previous time on design, structure, logic, and use of rubrics as a device for evaluation of performance. Several advantages of the usage of scoring rubrics in overall performance assessments have been projected, such as elevated reliability of scoring, the possibility to facilitate valid judgment of complex capabilities, and raise of learning.*

Keywords: Educational Resources Information Center, Learning Management System, Rubrics

REFERENCES

- [1]. Arter, J., &McTighe, J. (2001). Scoring rubrics in the classroom: Using performance criteria for assessing and improving student performance. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc.
- [2]. Stevens, D. D., & Levi, A. J. (2005). Introduction to rubrics: An assessment tool to save grading time, conveys effective feedback, and promote student learning. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- [3]. Hagen, Kim, and Diana Hibbert "Developing a learning Management System based on the IMS Learning Design Specification" Advanced Learning Technologies ,2006.Sixth International Conference on IEEE,2006
- [4]. Aydin,CansuCigdem and G. Trikes "Open Source learning Management System in e-learning and moodle" Education Engineering (EDUCON),2010 IEEE .IEEE 2010.
- [5]. Kumar, Sheo,Anil Kumar Gankotiya and KamleshDutta ,"A Comparitive study of moodle with other e-learning Systems. "Electronics Computer Technology (ICECT),2011 3rd International Conference on Vol.5 .IEEE 2011.
- [6]. Niall Slater,Web 2.0,Personal Learning Environments s ,and the future of Learning Management Systems (Research Bulletin 13,2008) (Boulder ,CO:EDUCAUSE Center for Applied Research ,2008)
- [7]. Brown, G. T. L., Glasswell, K., & Harland, D. (2004). Accuracy in the scoring of writing: Studies of reliability and validity using a new Zealand writing assessment system. *Assessing Writing*, 9, 105–121.
- [8]. Flowers, C. P., & Hancock, D. R. (2003). An interview protocol and scoring rubric for evaluating teacher performance. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 10, 161–168.
- [9]. Osana, H. P., & Seymour, J. R. (2004). Critical thinking in preservice teachers: A rubric for evaluating argumentation and statistical reasoning.
- [10]. Educational Research and Evaluation, 10, 473–498 Penny, J., Johnson, R. L., & Gordon, B. (2000a). The effect of rating augmentation on inter-rater reliability: An empirical study of a holistic rubric. *Assessing Writing*, 7,

