IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, January 2026
Impact Factor: 7.67

A Review on: Electronic Submission Standards RPS Vs. eCTD

Kamble Ankita[1], Salunke Nikita[2], Jadhav Madan[3], Murge Sakshi[4], Vairagkar Sneha S.[5]
Students, Pharmaceutics, Latur College of Pharmacy Hasegaon, Latur, India
M Pharm. Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, Latur College of Pharmacy, Hasegaon, Latur, India.

Abstract: *The implementation of digital submission standards is crucial for keeping uniformity, and gratifying regulatory neces-sities inside streamlining strategies, the submission documentation. full-size in this discipline are of pharmaceutical and healthcare product the electronic not Regulatory Product Submission unusual Technical document (eCTD) and the (RPS). and realistic use of those factors The eCTD, created by the International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) This abstract highlights the mutual significance, organization, eCTD version 3, section 2.2. This is the commonly accepted file format and container used for sending the Common Technical Document (CTD). It uses PDF files linked via an XML table of contents to convert the paper dossier into a digital version.*

ECTD (version 3). 2. 2): The widely acknowledged file format and container used for submitting the Common Technical Document (CTD). By utilizing PDF files connected through an XML ToC, the paper dossier was digitized. The HL7-developed RPS specifies the technical protocol for electronic sharing of regulated product data. The XML framework serves as the foundation for eCTD version 4. Certainly, here's a version: Unlike RPS, which is created by Health Level Seven (HL7) and focuses on a data-centric and flexible strategy, it facilitates improved metadata management and accommodates intricate regulatory processes. Using RPS changes how submissions are made, moving from a format that focuses on documents (like the eCTD v3) to a more flexible and updated way 2) to a data-driven ecosystem (eCTD v4).

Sure This is achieved by using UUIDs and specific metadata from Controlled Vocabularies, which allows machines to better understand the information. Version 4 of the RPS/eCTD system was released. In 2015, the ICH approved this change. The rollout of this approval is happening gradually across the world. The US FDA started accepting new regulatory submissions in eCTD version 4. As of September 16, 2024, the format has been updated. The EMA still uses eCTD version 3.2. The plan is to move to version 4.0 through a step-by-step approach. PMDA in Japan has approved eCTD version 4. Since the academic year 2016/2017, no voluntary submissions have been made.The sector is currently undergoing a period of change, making it a crucial subject for any academic review paper.

The electronic common technical document (eCTD) allows for the electronic submission of content is consistent with the harmonised CTD, the eCTD also provides a harmonised of the Comorin Technical. Document (CTD) from applicant to regulator. While the table of technical solution to implementing the CTD electronically. The specification is based on the Common Technical Document (CTD) format and was developed by the International Council Gtor Harmonisation (ICH) Multidisciplinary Group 2 Expert Working Group (ICH M2 EWG).

Version 2.0 of eCTD, an upgrade over the original CTD was finalised on February 12, 2002, and version 3.0 was finalised on October 8 of the same year. As of August 2016, the most current version is 3.2.2. released on July, 2008..

Keywords*:* Electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD), Common Technical Document (CTD), ICH Harmonization, Regulatory Submissions, Pharmaceutical Applications, Modular Structure

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-30876