

A Review on A Study of Meta-Analysis of Research Methods in Intellectual Property

Dr. Pradeep V¹, Srujan K M², Srusti P S³, Srikanth Raju⁴, Sri Deeksha⁵

Department of Information Science and Engineering¹⁻⁵

Alva's Institute of Engineering and Technology, Mijar, Karnataka, India

pradeepv@aiet.org.in, srujankm12@gmail.com, srusthigowda18@gmail.com,

srikanthraju srinivas2222@gmail.com, srideekshaghatwar@gmail.com

Abstract: Intellectual property (IP) research crosses numerous fields and requires a variety of approaches for thorough investigation. This meta-analysis examines the many research approaches used in intellectual property studies to understand current trends, their benefits, and limits. We examine quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method approaches to intellectual property research using a comprehensive literature review. Quantitative tools, such as surveys, econometric analysis, and patent data examination, predominate in empirical research, delivering robust statistical insights. Qualitative approaches, such as case studies, interviews, and content analysis, provide nuanced insights into intellectual property phenomena while contextualizing quantitative data. Mixed-method techniques combine these methodologies, resulting in triangulated perspectives and increased explanatory power. These approaches' strengths stem from their versatility, which allows for specialized research in a variety of IP scenarios. However, limitations include methodological constraints, data availability, and ethical problems, which necessitate careful interpretation. Emerging trends highlight the impact of technology, interdisciplinary collaboration, and globalization on intellectual property research. Case studies demonstrate methodological applications in various IP sectors, ranging from digital rights protection to cultural heritage preservation. Data access, quality assurance, and interdisciplinary integration remain challenges, necessitating collaborative efforts to develop methods. This meta-analysis provides a road map for future IP research, emphasizing methodological diversity, technological integration, and ethical rigor in dealing with the numerous dynamics of intellectual property.

Keywords: Intellectual property