

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 4, Issue 2, January 2024

Solving High-Dimensional Partial Differential Equations using Deep Learning

N. Srinivas¹ and Dr. Manjeet Jakhar² Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics¹

Research Guide, Department of Mathematics² NIILM University, Kaithal, India

Abstract: Solving high-dimensional partial differential equations (PDEs) poses a significant challenge due to the computational complexity and memory requirements involved. Traditional numerical methods encounter limitations when dealing with large-scale problems, motivating the exploration of alternative techniques. Deep learning has emerged as a promising approach to address these challenges by leveraging the representational power of neural networks. In the context of solving high-dimensional PDEs, deep learning techniques offer several advantages, including scalability, flexibility, and the ability to learn complex mappings between input and output spaces. By utilizing architectures such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), researchers have developed innovative methods to approximate solutions to high-dimensional PDEs. These approaches often involve training neural networks on simulated or experimental data to learn the underlying dynamics of the system, enabling efficient and accurate predictions. Additionally, techniques such as physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) integrate domain knowledge into the learning process, enhancing the robustness and interpretability of the models. Despite the progress achieved, challenges remain in optimizing network architectures, handling large datasets, and ensuring generalization to diverse problem domains. Nevertheless, the intersection of deep learning and high-dimensional PDEs holds great promise for advancing computational science and engineering applications, paving the way for more efficient and scalable solutions to complex physical phenomena

Keywords: Deep Learning, Solving, Single-line Approach, Efficiency

REFERENCES

- [1]. Bellman RE (1957) Dynamic Programming (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton).
- [2]. Goodfellow I, Bengio Y, Courville A (2016) Deep Learning (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA).
- [3]. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G (2015) Deep learning. Nature 521:436-444.
- [4]. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE (2012) Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, eds Bartlett P, Pereira F, Burges CJC, Bottou L, Weinberger KQ (Curran Associates, Inc., Red Hook, NY), Vol 25, pp 1097–1105.
- [5]. Hinton G, et al. (2012) Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. IEEE Signal Process Mag 29:82–97.
- [6]. Silver D, Huang A, Maddison CJ, Guez A, et al. (2016) Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. Nature 529:484–489.
- [7]. Pinkus A (1999) Approximation theory of the MLP model in neural networks. Acta Numerica 8:143–195.
- [8]. Pardoux ´ E, Peng S (1992) Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications (Charlotte, NC, 1991), Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, eds Rozovskii BL, Sowers RB (Springer, Berlin), Vol 176, pp 200–217.
- [9]. Pardoux E', Tang S (1999) Forward-backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic PDEs. Probab Theor Relat Fields 114:123–150.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 4, Issue 2, January 2024

- [10]. Darbon J, Osher S (2016) Algorithms for overcoming the curse of dimensionality for certain Hamilton– Jacobi equations arising in control theory and elsewhere. Res Math Sci 3:19.
- [11]. E W, Hutzenthaler M, Jentzen A, Kruse T (2016) On multilevel Picard numerical approximations for highdimensional nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations and high-dimensional nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations.arXiv:1607.03295.
- [12]. Henry-Laborde` re P (2012) Counterparty risk valuation: A marked branching diffusion approach. arXiv:1203.2369.
- [13]. Henry-Laborde` re P, Tan X, Touzi N (2014) A numerical algorithm for a class of BSDEs via the branching process. Stoch Proc Appl 124:1112–1140.
- [14]. Kingma D, Ba J (2015) Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv:1412.6980. Preprint, posted December 22, 2014.
- [15]. Black F, Scholes M (1973) The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. J Polit Econ 81:637–654; reprinted in Black F, Scholes M (2012) Financial Risk Measurement and Management, International Library of Critical Writings in Economics (Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK), Vol 267, pp 100–117.
- [16]. Duffie D, Schroder M, Skiadas C (1996) Recursive valuation of defaultable securities and the timing of resolution of uncertainty. Ann Appl Probab 6:1075–1090.
- [17]. Bender C, Schweizer N, Zhuo J (2017) A primal-dual algorithm for BSDEs. Math Finance 27:866–901.
- [18]. Bergman YZ (1995) Option pricing with differential interest rates. Rev Financial Stud 8:475–500.
- [19]. Leland H (1985) Option pricing and replication with transaction costs. J Finance 40:1283–1301.
- [20]. Avellaneda M, Levy A, Para' s A (1995) Pricing and hedging derivative securities in markets with uncertain volatilities. Appl Math Finance 2:73–88.
- [21]. Cre'pey S, Gerboud R, Grbac Z, Ngor N (2013) Counterparty risk and funding: The four wings of the TVA. Int J Theor Appl Finance 16:1350006.
- [22]. Forsyth PA, Vetzal KR (2001) Implicit solution of uncertain volatility/transaction cost option pricing models with discretely observed barriers. Appl Numer Math 36:427–445.
- [23]. Powell WB (2011) Approximate Dynamic Programming: Solving the Curses of Dimensionality (Wiley, New York).
- [24]. Yong J, Zhou X (1999) Stochastic Controls (Springer, New York).
- [25]. Emmerich H (2003) The Diffuse Interface Approach in Materials Science: Thermodynamic Concepts and Applications of Phase-Field Models (Springer, New York), Vol 73.
- [26]. El Karoui N, Peng S, Quenez MC (1997) Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. Math Finance 7:1–71.
- [27]. Gobet E (2016) Monte-Carlo Methods and Stochastic Processes: From Linear to Non- Linear (Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL).
- [28]. Heinrich S (2006) The randomized information complexity of elliptic PDE. J Complexity 22:220–249.
- [29]. Geiss S, Ylinen J (2014) Decoupling on the Wiener space, related Besov spaces, and applications to BSDEs. arXiv:1409.5322.
- [30]. Ioffe S, Szegedy C (2015) Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. arXiv:1502.03167. Preprint, posted February 11, 2014.
- [31]. Abadi M, et al. (2016) Tensorflow: A system for large-scale machine learning. 12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (USENIX Association, Berkeley, CA), pp 265–283.
- [32]. Gobet E, Turkedjiev P (2017) Adaptive importance sampling in least-squares Monte Carlo algorithms for backward stochastic differential equations. Stoch Proc Appl 127:1171–1203.

