

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 4, Issue 1, January 2024

## **Anthropogenic Impact of Flora and Fauna**

Seema Bhatnagar<sup>1</sup> and Dr. Dinesh Kumar Singh<sup>2</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Botany<sup>1</sup> Research Guide, Department of Botany<sup>2</sup> Shri Jagdish Prasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: This research paper aims to provide a thorough examination of the anthropogenic impact on flora and fauna, exploring the consequences of human activities on the world's ecosystems. The paper synthesizes current scientific knowledge and research findings to analyze the multifaceted ways in which human actions affect plant and animal life. Key topics include habitat destruction, pollution, climate change, and the direct exploitation of natural resources. The research area's geographical specificity restricts the generalizability of findings. Seasonal data collection could lead to potential gaps in understanding environmental dynamics. The study also acknowledges its limitations in providing in-depth mechanistic explanations of herbal drugs' actions, as well as the absence of advanced scientific and technological approaches in certain analyses.

Keywords: anthropogenic, impact, flora, fauna.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Thomas-Walters, L.; Raihani, N.J. Supporting conservation: The roles of flagship species and identifiable victims. Conserv. Lett. 2016, 10, 581–587.
- [2]. Bennett, J.R.; Maloney, R.; Possingham, H.P. Biodiversity gains from efficient use of private sponsorship for flagship species conservation. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2015, 282, 20142693.
- [3]. Cincotta, R.P.; Wisnewski, J.; Engelman, R. Human population in the biodiversity hotspots. Nature 2000, 404, 990–992.
- [4]. Chitale, V.S.; Behera, M.D. India's biodiversity hotspots face climate change challenges. Nat. India 2014.
- [5]. Deneu, B.; Servajean, M.; Bonnet, P.; Botella, C.; Munoz, F.; Joly, A. Convolutional neural networks improve species distribution modelling by capturing the spatial structure of the environment. PLoSComput. Biol. 2021, 17, e1008856.
- [6]. Simonson, W.; Allen, H.; Coomes, D. Use of an airborne lidar system to model plant species composition and diversity of Mediterranean Oak Forests. Conserv. Biol. 2012, 26, 840–850.
- [7]. Spiers, J.A.; Oatham, M.P.; Rostant, L.V.; Farrell, A.D. Applying species distribution modelling to improving conservation based decisions: A gap analysis of Trinidad and Tobago's endemic vascular plants. Biodivers. Conserv. 2018, 27, 2931–2949.
- [8]. Pecchi, M.; Marchi, M.; Burton, V.; Giannetti, F.; Moriondo, M.; Bernetti, I.; Bindi, M.; Chirici, G. Species distribution modelling to support forest management. A literature review. Ecol. Model. 2019, 411, 108817.
- [9]. Gilani, H.; ArifGoheer, M.; Ahmad, H.; Hussain, K. Under predicted climate change: Distribution and ecological niche modelling of six native tree species in Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. Ecol. Indic. 2020, 111, 106049.
- [10]. Gonzalez, S.C.; Soto-Centeno, J.A.; Reed, D.L. Population distribution models: Species distributions are better modeled using biologically relevant data partitions. BMC Ecol. 2011, 11, 20.

