IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Employee Motivation and its Impact on Employee Performance

Ms. Aparna Vats[1] and Ms. S. Pavathaarani[2]
Assistant Professor, Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, New Delhi
Student, Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, New Delhi

Abstract : *Employee motivation is an important factor in human resource management because it can influence employees' willingness to apply effort, remain committed and work towards organisational goals. Motivation may arise from financial rewards, incentives, recognition, promotion opportunities, job security, career development and a supportive working environment. Employee performance, in turn, may be reflected in work quality, productivity, efficiency, punctuality, teamwork and achievement of targets. This paper examines the relationship between employee motivation and employee performance. It aims to identify key financial and non-financial motivational factors, consider their perceived relationship with performance and discuss the role of managers in creating a motivating workplace. The paper provides a framework for examining employees' perceptions of motivation and self-reported performance. Since the source project does not contain a completed and verified dataset or analysed survey results, empirical findings and statistical conclusions have not been invented. The final results and conclusion concerning the selected sample should be completed after the questionnaire data are collected and analysed.*

Keywords: Employee motivation, employee performance, job satisfaction, rewards and recognition, work environment, human resource management

I. INTRODUCTION

Employees are among the most important resources of an organisation. Although buildings, machines, technology and financial resources support organisational activities, people are required to plan, operate and manage these resources. Organisational success therefore depends, in part, on how effectively employees perform their responsibilities.

Employee motivation refers to the willingness of an employee to direct effort towards work-related goals. It may be influenced by personal interest and achievement as well as external factors such as salary, incentives, appreciation, career growth, job security and a supportive workplace. Different employees may respond differently to these factors.

Employee performance refers to how effectively and efficiently an employee completes assigned tasks and responsibilities. It can be assessed through work quality, quantity, accuracy, punctuality, teamwork, customer service and the achievement of targets. Motivation may support performance by encouraging interest, persistence and responsibility. However, performance also depends on ability, training, tools, workload, leadership and organisational systems. Motivation alone cannot guarantee high performance.

1.1 Background of the Study

Organisations operate in competitive environments and require skilled, committed and productive employees. Managers use practices such as fair compensation, performance incentives, recognition, training, promotion, participation in decisions and flexible work arrangements to encourage employees. While earlier approaches often emphasised wages and physical working conditions, contemporary approaches also recognise psychological and social needs, including respect, autonomy, belonging, meaningful work and opportunities to develop skills.

Copyright to IJARSCT
www.ijarsct.co.in
DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-41073

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

Motivational needs may vary across employees and over time. An employee at the beginning of a career may place greater value on learning and career growth, while another may prioritise job security or work-life balance. Organisations therefore need to understand employee expectations rather than relying on a single reward system.

1.2 Nature and Importance of Employee Motivation

Employee motivation has several important characteristics:

- Goal-oriented: it encourages employees to work towards personal and organisational goals.
- Continuous: employees may require regular encouragement, feedback and support.
- Individual in nature: needs and expectations differ from one employee to another.
- Dynamic: motivation may change with time, circumstances and personal needs.
- Psychological: it is connected with employees' needs, feelings, desires and interests.
- Positive or negative: it may be encouraged through rewards and appreciation, although fear-based methods can also influence behaviour.

Motivation is important because it may improve productivity, job satisfaction, commitment, teamwork and the workplace environment. It may also help reduce absenteeism and employee turnover and encourage creativity and innovation. These outcomes depend on the suitability and fairness of the organisation's practices.

1.3 Factors Affecting Employee Motivation

- Salary and financial benefits: fair pay, bonuses, incentives and other financial rewards.
- Job security: confidence in the stability and continuity of employment.
- Recognition and appreciation: acknowledgement of employees' contributions and achievements.
- Working environment: safe, comfortable and supportive workplace conditions.
- Career growth: promotion, training and professional development opportunities.
- Leadership and management: guidance, encouragement, communication and constructive feedback.
- Job satisfaction: enjoyment of work and satisfaction with assigned responsibilities.
- Work-life balance: reasonable working arrangements, leave and personal time.
- Co-worker relationships: cooperation, mutual respect and teamwork.
- Employee participation: involvement in decisions and respect for employee opinions.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The study focuses on the relationship between employee motivation and employee performance. It considers financial and non-financial motivation, workplace conditions, leadership, job satisfaction and employee productivity. It also seeks to suggest practical measures that organisations may adopt to strengthen employee motivation and performance.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Organisations use different methods to motivate employees, but employees may not value every method equally. Some may respond to financial rewards, while others may place greater importance on recognition, career growth, supportive leadership or work-life balance. If organisations do not understand employee expectations, their motivation programmes may be less effective. The research problem is therefore to understand how selected motivational factors are perceived by employees and how these perceptions relate to their self-reported work performance.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To understand the meaning and importance of employee motivation in an organisation.
- To examine how motivation relates to employee productivity, efficiency and work quality.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

- To identify financial and non-financial factors such as salary, incentives, rewards, recognition, promotion and job security that motivate employees.
- To examine the relationship between employee motivation and job satisfaction.
- To understand the role of management, leadership, communication and organisational support in motivating employees.
- To suggest practical strategies for improving employee motivation and performance.

IV. RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESES

The study is guided by the following research questions:

- Which factors do respondents consider most important for employee motivation?
- How do respondents perceive the effect of motivation on their work performance?
- How important are recognition, training and career-development opportunities?
- Do respondents feel that their organisation provides a supportive work environment?

4.1 Hypotheses

The following hypotheses may be tested after adequate primary data have been collected:

H0 (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant association between employee motivation and self-reported employee performance in the selected sample.

H1 (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant positive association between employee motivation and self-reported employee performance in the selected sample.

Optional secondary hypothesis: Perceived recognition and career-development opportunities are positively associated with self-reported motivation.

V. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The review of literature helps place the present study within established discussions of work motivation. The source project identifies several influential theories that explain why employees may direct effort towards work goals.

5.1 Theoretical Review

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943). Maslow proposed five levels of human needs: physiological, safety, social, esteem and self-actualisation. In the workplace, these needs may relate to adequate pay, job security, relationships, recognition and opportunities for personal growth.

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959). Herzberg distinguished hygiene factors from motivators. Salary, job security and working conditions are commonly treated as hygiene factors, while recognition, achievement and advancement are motivators. The theory highlights that reducing dissatisfaction and creating positive motivation are not necessarily the same task.

Vroom's Expectancy Theory (1964). Vroom explained that motivation is influenced by employees' expectations that effort can lead to performance and that performance can lead to valued rewards. The perceived link between effort, performance and outcomes is therefore important.

Locke's Goal-Setting Theory (1968). Locke argued that clear and challenging goals can direct effort and improve performance. Feedback helps employees understand progress and adjust their efforts.

Latham and Locke (1990). Their subsequent work developed goal-setting research, emphasising goal commitment, clear objectives and feedback as factors that can support performance.

Deci and Ryan's Self-Determination Theory (2000). This theory emphasises autonomy, competence and relatedness. Employees may experience stronger quality of motivation when they have appropriate choice, feel capable and experience positive workplace relationships.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

5.2 Research Gap

Existing theories and studies explain several factors that may influence employee motivation. However, the importance of these factors can differ according to employees' needs, job roles and working environments. The present project therefore proposes to examine employees' perceptions of selected motivational factors and their perceived relationship with work performance in the selected sample.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

6.1 Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive research design to understand employees' opinions and experiences regarding workplace motivation and performance. The design is intended to describe perceptions of motivational factors rather than establish causal relationships.

6.2 Population and Sampling

The target population comprises employees working in organisations. The accessible population consists of employees whom the researcher can reasonably contact and who voluntarily agree to participate. Convenience sampling may be used because respondents are selected on the basis of accessibility and willingness. This approach is practical for a student project, but the sample may not represent all employees or industries.

The final sample size must be reported using the exact number of valid questionnaires received. The source document contains an unverified draft survey summary (20 questionnaires distributed, 15 responses received, 7 invalid or incomplete and 8 valid responses). These figures are retained only as draft entries and must be checked against the actual response records before being reported as final sample details.

6.3 Sources of Data

Primary data are intended to be collected directly from respondents through a structured questionnaire covering respondent profile, motivation factors, workplace practices and perceived performance. Secondary information is drawn from books, research papers, journals, articles, reports and relevant online publications.

6.4 Research Instrument and Measurement

The proposed research instrument is a structured questionnaire. It includes respondent-profile questions, closed-ended statements and a small number of open-ended questions. Selected statements use a five-point Likert scale: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree and Strongly Disagree.

Response	Suggested Code
Strongly Agree	5
Agree	4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	3
Disagree	2
Strongly Disagree	1

6.5 Data Collection Procedure

Before data collection, the researcher should explain the academic purpose of the study and obtain informed consent. Participation should be voluntary. The questionnaire may be distributed in paper form or through an online form. Responses should be checked for completeness and duplicates, where identifiable without exposing respondents. The researcher should record the actual survey dates, mode of collection, number of questionnaires distributed, number returned and number treated as valid.

6.6 Methods of Data Analysis

The responses may be analysed using frequencies, percentages and simple charts. For each question, the number of respondents selecting each option should be counted. Percentages should be calculated using the number of valid responses to that question as the denominator.

Percentage = (Number of responses in a category ÷ Total valid responses to the question) × 100.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

For Likert-scale items, a mean score may be calculated by multiplying each response code by its frequency, adding the results and dividing by the total valid responses. An association between motivation and performance may be explored using an appropriate statistical method if the sample size and data quality permit. Statistical significance should not be claimed unless a suitable test has actually been performed.

6.7 Ethical Considerations

Obtain informed consent before including a response.
Make participation voluntary and allow respondents to skip questions or stop.
Protect respondents' privacy and confidentiality.
Avoid collecting unnecessary identifying or confidential employer information.
Do not fabricate, alter or selectively hide data.
Cite secondary sources and report findings honestly.

6.8 Limitations of the Study

The study may be limited by a small sample size and convenience sampling, which can restrict the generalisability of the findings. Self-reported responses may be affected by memory, personal opinion or respondents' willingness to share information. A cross-sectional design captures views at one point in time and cannot establish cause and effect. Time and resource constraints may also limit the number of organisations and respondents included.

VII. DISCUSSION AND EXPECTED CONTRIBUTION

The study is designed to examine how employees perceive the relationship between motivation and their work performance. Responses concerning recognition may be considered alongside Herzberg's motivator factors, while responses about fairness and rewards may be discussed in relation to expectancy and equity-related explanations. Views about autonomy, competence and workplace relationships may be considered in light of Self-Determination Theory. The interpretation must be guided by the actual responses and should not force the findings to support a particular theory.

The project may help identify which financial and non-financial practices respondents regard as important. It may also provide a practical basis for discussing how managers can align motivation practices with employee expectations. This section should be expanded after the survey results have been analysed.

VIII. FINDINGS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Status of this section: The source document does not contain completed response-level data or analysed results. Accordingly, no findings, percentages, charts or hypothesis decisions have been fabricated.

After data collection, report at least the following:

The most frequently selected motivation factor, with its frequency and percentage.
Respondents' views about salary and performance-based incentives.
Respondents' views about recognition and career-development opportunities.
Responses concerning leadership, feedback and the working environment.
Responses concerning motivation and self-reported employee performance.
The outcome of any statistical test used to examine the stated hypotheses, including the test name and relevant result.

8.1 Survey Administration Details to Verify

Survey Detail	Draft Entry in Source (Verify)
Survey period	21–23 November 2026
Questionnaires distributed	20
Responses received	15
Invalid or incomplete responses	7
Valid responses used	8
Mode of collection	Paper / Online / Both – to be confirmed

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

IX. SUGGESTIONS

The following general recommendations are based on the motivational concepts discussed in the paper. They should be adapted to the actual survey findings:

- Provide fair and transparent salary structures and benefits.
- Recognise good work through timely, specific and sincere appreciation.
- Offer training and development opportunities that match job requirements.
- Make promotion and career-growth criteria clear.
- Set realistic goals and provide regular, constructive feedback.
- Encourage employee participation and appropriate decision-making autonomy.
- Maintain safe working conditions, respectful communication and manageable workloads.
- Use a suitable combination of financial and non-financial motivation methods.
- Review motivation practices regularly and seek employee feedback.

X. CONCLUSION

Employee motivation is an important part of human resource management because it influences employees' willingness to direct effort towards work goals. Fair pay, recognition, career development, training, supportive leadership, job security and a positive work environment may all contribute to motivation. The theories discussed in this paper highlight that employees have different needs and expectations. Maslow's theory considers different levels of needs; Herzberg distinguishes hygiene factors from motivators; Vroom explains the role of expected rewards; goal-setting theory emphasises clear targets and feedback; and Self-Determination Theory focuses on autonomy, competence and relatedness.

Motivation may contribute to better performance, but it is not the only determinant. Employees also need suitable skills, clear responsibilities, adequate resources and supportive working conditions. The final conclusion about the selected sample must be revised after the primary data have been collected and analysed.

XI. FUTURE SCOPE OF THE STUDY

Future research may include a larger sample drawn from multiple organisations and industries. Researchers could compare public- and private-sector employees, different job levels or employees with different lengths of work experience. A longitudinal study could examine whether changes in motivation are followed by changes in performance over time. Future studies may also use supervisor-rated performance, objective productivity measures or interviews, subject to consent and organisational permission.

REFERENCES

1. Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-determination theory in work organizations: The state of a science. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 4, 19–43. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113108
2. Van den Broeck, A., Howard, J. L., Van Vaerenbergh, Y., Leroy, H., &Gagné, M. (2021). Beyond intrinsic and extrinsic motivation: A meta-analysis on self-determination theory's multidimensional conceptualization of work motivation. Organizational Psychology Review, 11(3), 240–273. https://doi.org/10.1177/20413866211006173
3. Wang, N., Luan, Y., & Ma, R. (2024). Detecting causal relationships between work motivation and job performance: A meta-analytic review of cross-lagged studies. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11, Article 595. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03038-w
4. Self-Determination Theory and Workplace Outcomes: A Conceptual Review and Future Research Directions. (2024). Behavioral Sciences, 14(6), 428. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14060428
5. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2022). Organizational behavior (19th ed.). Pearson.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, November 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

6. Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2023). Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice (16th ed.). Kogan.