

A Critical Study of Working and Functioning of Lokayukta in the Prevention of Corruption

Saraswat Srivastava¹ and Dr. Sandeep Mishra²

LL.B 3Yr., Amity Law School, Lucknow, India¹

Assistant Professor, Amity Law School, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India²

Abstract: *The Lokpal and Lokayukta Act, 2013 was passed to create organisations responsible for investigating and prosecuting corruption charges that involve government officials. This research paper evaluates the authority and responsibilities of the Lokpal and Lokayukta, focusing on their impact on the judiciary, and assesses the effectiveness of the Act.*

The research paper employs a mixed-methods approach, consisting of a systematic evaluation of pertinent literature and an examination of the Act and its execution. The examination considers the authority and duties of the Lokpal and Lokayukta, as well as the influence of the court on their performance. Additionally, it serves as a critical evaluation of the Act, emphasising its deficiencies and restrictions. The investigation finds deficiencies in the Act, which have hindered its efficacy in combating corruption in influential positions. The study report also analyses the obstacles encountered by the Lokpal and Lokayukta in carrying out their responsibilities and the consequences of these issues on the broader anti-corruption efforts. The report suggests conducting a thorough examination of the Act in order to resolve the observed gaps and restrictions, and to enhance the powers and activities of the Lokpal and Lokayukta

Keywords: Lokpal and Lokayukta, Corruption, Autonomous Ombudsman, Judicial Input

REFERENCES

- [1]. Dr.J.N.pandey, Constitutional law of India,55th Edition .
- [2]. law commission of india .reports 2022.pdf
- [3]. https://www.lawctopus.com/academike/arbitration-adr-in-india/#_edn29
- [4]. <http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report222.pdf>
- [5]. Maseeh Rahman, Anna Hazare ends Hunger Strike After Indian Government Backs Down, The
- [6]. Guardian, August 28th, 2011
- [7]. Plea against notification of Lokpal Bill panel premature: Supreme Court, The Hindu, April 29th, 2011 In re, the Special Courts Bill, 1978, (1979) 1 SCC 380.
- [8]. State (Delhi Administration) v. V.C. Shukla, (1980) 2 SCC 665.
- [9]. Mithilesh Kumar Singh vs. The State of Bihar and Ors., MANU/BH/0399/2022.
- [10]. Justice. Chandrashekaraiyah (Retd.) v. Janekere C. Krishna, (2013) 3 SCC 117, para 19.
- [11]. A.P. Lokayukta/Upa-Lokayukta v. T. Rama Subba Reddy, (1997) 9 SCC 42, para 17.
- [12]. Justice. Chandrashekaraiyah (Retd.) v. Janekere C. Krishna, (2013) 3 SCC 117.
- [13]. Vijay Raj Mohan vs. State Represented by the Inspector of Police, CBI, ACB, Chennai, and Tamil
- [14]. Nadu, (2022) LiveLaw (SC) 832
- [15]. Common Cause, a Registered Society V. Union of India and Ors., (2018) 9 SCC 382